

# Hardy's Nonlocality Argument as a Witness for Post-Quantum Correlations

(DIQIP/QALGO meeting)

Ashutosh Rai

Faculty of Computing, University of Latvia

15-05-2014

## Motivation...

- ▶ **Bell-Nonlocality:** Outcomes of local measurements on composite physical systems shared between far away observers can generate strong correlations violating Local-Causality.

## Motivation...

- ▶ **Bell-Nonlocality:** Outcomes of local measurements on composite physical systems shared between far away observers can generate strong correlations violating Local-Causality.
- ▶ The set of quantum-correlations respect the No-Signaling principle; however, this principle also allows other post-quantum correlations to exist (Popescu and Rohrlich, 1994).

# Motivation...

- ▶ **Bell-Nonlocality:** Outcomes of local measurements on composite physical systems shared between far away observers can generate strong correlations violating Local-Causality.
- ▶ The set of quantum-correlations respect the No-Signaling principle; however, this principle also allows other post-quantum correlations to exist (Popescu and Rohrlich, 1994).
- ▶ Motivation is to search for Physical Principle(s) that can separate quantum from post-quantum correlations; ideally reproduce the exact set of quantum correlations.

# Motivation...

- ▶ **Bell-Nonlocality:** Outcomes of local measurements on composite physical systems shared between far away observers can generate strong correlations violating Local-Causality.
- ▶ The set of quantum-correlations respect the No-Signaling principle; however, this principle also allows other post-quantum correlations to exist (Popescu and Rohrlich, 1994).
- ▶ Motivation is to search for Physical Principle(s) that can separate quantum from post-quantum correlations; ideally reproduce the exact set of quantum correlations.
- ▶ Proposed principles like, Non-trivial Communication Complexity, Macroscopic Locality, Information Causality, Local-Orthogonality, has produced some promising results.

...

- ▶ Recently, it has been shown that information principles which are applicable in a non-trivial way only to bi-partite scenarios, like communication complexity and information causality, are insufficient to distinguish certain correlations when three or more parties are involved (Gallego et al., 2011; Das et al. 2013).

...

- ▶ Recently, it has been shown that information principles which are applicable in a non-trivial way only to bi-partite scenarios, like communication complexity and information causality, are insufficient to distinguish certain correlations when three or more parties are involved (Gallego et al., 2011; Das et al. 2013).
- ▶ A hint for such possibility was given in an earlier work on Guess-Your-Neighbours-Input (GYNI) nonlocal games (Almeida et al., 2010).

...

- ▶ Recently, it has been shown that information principles which are applicable in a non-trivial way only to bi-partite scenarios, like communication complexity and information causality, are insufficient to distinguish certain correlations when three or more parties are involved (Gallego et al., 2011; Das et al. 2013).
- ▶ A hint for such possibility was given in an earlier work on Guess-Your-Neighbours-Input (GYNI) nonlocal games (Almeida et al., 2010).
- ▶ This in turn has lead to search for generalized multiparty information principles for characterization of quantum correlations, formulation of Local-Orthogonality principle is a recent new proposal in this direction (Fritz et al., 2013).



...

- ▶ Recently, it has been shown that information principles which are applicable in a non-trivial way only to bi-partite scenarios, like communication complexity and information causality, are insufficient to distinguish certain correlations when three or more parties are involved (Gallego et al., 2011; Das et al. 2013).
- ▶ A hint for such possibility was given in an earlier work on Guess-Your-Neighbours-Input (GYNI) nonlocal games (Almeida et al., 2010).
- ▶ This in turn has lead to search for generalized multiparty information principles for characterization of quantum correlations, formulation of Local-Orthogonality principle is a recent new proposal in this direction (Fritz et al., 2013).
- ▶ Also, study of multiparty nonlocal correlations has lead to its more precise characterization (Gallego et al., 2012; Bancal et al., 2013).

## Quantum Correlations Require Multipartite Information Principles

Rodrigo Gallego,<sup>1</sup> Lars Erik Würflinger,<sup>1</sup> Antonio Acín,<sup>1,2</sup> and Miguel Navascués<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fotòniques, E-08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*ICREA-Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom*

(Received 25 July 2011; published 15 November 2011)

Identifying which correlations among distant observers are possible within our current description of nature, based on quantum mechanics, is a fundamental problem in physics. Recently, information concepts have been proposed as the key ingredient to characterize the set of quantum correlations. Novel information principles, such as information causality or nontrivial communication complexity, have been introduced in this context and successfully applied to some concrete scenarios. We show in this work a fundamental limitation of this approach: no principle based on bipartite information concepts is able to single out the set of quantum correlations for an arbitrary number of parties. Our results reflect the intricate structure of quantum correlations and imply that new and intrinsically multipartite information concepts are needed for their full understanding.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.210403

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Mn

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 87, 012112 (2013)

## Hardy's nonlocality argument as a witness for postquantum correlations

Subhadipa Das,<sup>1,\*</sup> Manik Banik,<sup>2,†</sup> Ashutosh Rai,<sup>1,‡</sup> MD Rajjak Gazi,<sup>2,§</sup> and Samir Kunkri<sup>3,||</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*S. N. Bose National Center for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700098, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata-700108, India*

<sup>3</sup>*Mahadevananda Mahavidyalaya, Monirampore, Barrakpore, North 24 Parganas-700120, India*

(Received 19 September 2012; published 14 January 2013)

Recently, Gallego *et al.* [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 210403 (2011)] proved that any future information principle aiming at distinguishing between quantum and postquantum correlation must be intrinsically multipartite in nature. We establish similar results by using the device-independent success probability of Hardy's nonlocality argument for tripartite quantum systems. We construct an example of a tripartite Hardy correlation which is postquantum but satisfies not only the all-bipartite information principle but also the guess-your-neighbor's-input (GYNI) inequality.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.87.012112

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ud

## Our Result...

- ▶ With the help of tri-partite Hardy-Nonlocality argument, we show in a different way, insufficiency of bi-partite information principles in distinguishing quantum correlations.

## Our Result...

- ▶ With the help of tri-partite Hardy-Nonlocality argument, we show in a different way, insufficiency of bi-partite information principles in distinguishing quantum correlations.
- ▶ First we proved a device independent bound for success probability of a tri-partite Hardy's nonlocality argument; violation of such a bound demonstrate correlations to be post-quantum.

## Our Result...

- ▶ With the help of tri-partite Hardy-Nonlocality argument, we show in a different way, insufficiency of bi-partite information principles in distinguishing quantum correlations.
- ▶ First we proved a device independent bound for success probability of a tri-partite Hardy's nonlocality argument; violation of such a bound demonstrate correlations to be post-quantum.
- ▶ Then, we constructed an example of Time-Ordered-Bi-Local (TOBL) correlations which shows Hardy's nonlocality, but, with a success probability exceeding the derived device independent bound.

## Our Result...

- ▶ With the help of tri-partite Hardy-Nonlocality argument, we show in a different way, insufficiency of bi-partite information principles in distinguishing quantum correlations.
- ▶ First we proved a device independent bound for success probability of a tri-partite Hardy's nonlocality argument; violation of such a bound demonstrate correlations to be post-quantum.
- ▶ Then, we constructed an example of Time-Ordered-Bi-Local (TOBL) correlations which shows Hardy's nonlocality, but, with a success probability exceeding the derived device independent bound.
- ▶ Since TOBL correlations satisfy any bi-partite information principle, hence we show insufficiency of such principles in distinguishing all post-quantum correlations.

## Hardy-Nonlocality argument in tripartite scenario...

Consider three spatially separated parties, say, Alice, Bob and Charlie. They respectively choose to input (measure)  $X_i, Y_j, Z_k$  where  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$ . Any input can have binary outcome  $\pm 1$ . Suppose 5 out of 64 joint probabilities  $P(a, b, c | X_i, Y_j, Z_k)$  satisfy the following restrictions:

$$P(+++ | X_0 Y_0 Z_0) > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$P(+++ | X_1 Y_0 Z_0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$P(+++ | X_0 Y_1 Z_0) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$P(+++ | X_0 Y_0 Z_1) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$P(--- | X_1 Y_1 Z_1) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Then, there is no local-realistic description for such events.

## Results for success probability of Hardy-nonlocality...

Denote,  $p_H > 0$  as the success probability of the Hardy's argument.

- ▶ In quantum mechanics, for three qubit system subject to local projective measurements,  $(p_H)_{max} = \frac{1}{8}$  (Chaudhary et al. 2010).
- ▶ We showed that  $(p_H)_{max} = \frac{1}{8}$  is in fact a device independent number, i.e., independent of the local Hilbert space dimension and type of measurements performed.



# Derivation of device independent bound...

- ▶ At Alice's end,  $X_0, X_1$  being hermitian operators with eigen values  $\pm 1$ ,  $X_0 = \prod_{+|X_0} - \prod_{-|X_0}$ ;  $X_1 = \prod_{+|X_1} - \prod_{-|X_1}$ .
- ▶ A Lemma proved by Masanes PRL(2006), the Hilbert space  $H$  can be decomposed as a direct sum of subspace  $H^i$  of dimensions at most two such that  $X_0 = \oplus_i X_0^i$  and  $X_1 = \oplus_i X_1^i$ , where  $X_0^i$  and  $X_1^i$  acts within  $H^i$ .
- ▶ Same is true at Bob's and Charlie's ends, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(abc|x, y, z) &= \text{Tr}(\rho \prod_{a|x} \otimes \prod_{b|y} \otimes \prod_{c|z}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} q_{ijk} \text{Tr}(\rho_{ijk} \prod_{a|x}^i \otimes \prod_{b|y}^j \otimes \prod_{c|z}^k) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} q_{ijk} P_{ijk}(abc|xyz) \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{ijk} = \text{Tr}(\rho \Pi^i \otimes \Pi^j \otimes \Pi^k)$  and  $\rho_{ijk} = \frac{1}{q_{ijk}} [\Pi^i \otimes \Pi^j \otimes \Pi^k \rho \Pi^i \otimes \Pi^j \otimes \Pi^k]$  is, at most, a three qubit state;  $q_{ijk} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{ijk} q_{ijk} = 1$ .

## Derivation...

- ▶ The Hardy's condition are satisfied for  $P$  iff they are satisfied for each of  $P_{ijk}$ , thus,

$$P(+++|X_0 Y_0 Z_0) = \sum_{ijk} q_{ijk} P_{ijk}(+++|X_0 Y_0 Z_0)$$

is a convex sum of Hardy's probabilities in each three-qubit space.

- ▶ Being a convex sum, the success probability  $P_H$  is thus less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{8}$ .
- ▶  $(P_H)_{max} = \frac{1}{8}$ .

## Time-Ordered-Bi-Local (TOBL) correlations... (Pironio et al. 2011)

A tripartite distribution  $P(abc|xyz)$  admits a TOBL model if it can be decomposed for bipartisan  $A|BC$  as:

$$\begin{aligned}P(abc|xyz) &= \sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} P(a|x, \lambda) P_{B \rightarrow C}(bc|yz, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} P(a|x, \lambda) P_{B \leftarrow C}(bc|yz, \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for other bipartisan  $B|AC$  and  $C|AB$ . Additionally, following conditions must hold:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{B \rightarrow C}(b|y, \lambda) &= \sum_c P_{B \rightarrow C}(bc|yz, \lambda) \\ P_{B \leftarrow C}(c|z, \lambda) &= \sum_b P_{B \leftarrow C}(bc|yz, \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

Distributions  $P_{B \rightarrow C}$  and  $P_{B \leftarrow C}$  can be signaling in at most one direction.

# A Hardy-correlation in TOBL set...

TABLE I. Tripartite no-signaling probability distribution  $P(abc|xyz)$  with Hardy's success  $1/5$ .

$xyz$	$abc$							
	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
000	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$
001	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	0
010	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	0
011	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	0
100	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	0
101	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	0
110	0	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
111	$\frac{2}{5}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0

- ▶  $P(000|000) = 1/5$ ;  $P(000|100) = 0$ ;  $P(000|010) = 0$ ;  $P(000|001) = 0$ ;  $P(111|111) = 0$ .
- ▶ Symmetric under permutation of parties.

# TOBL model for the given example

TABLE II. TOBL decomposition for the case  $A|B \rightarrow C$ .

$\lambda$	$p_\lambda$	$a_0$	$a_1$	$b_0$	$b_1$	$c_{00}$	$c_{01}$	$c_{10}$	$c_{11}$
1	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
2	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
3	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
4	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
5	$\frac{1}{5}$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
6	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
7	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
8	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
9	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0

TABLE III. TOBL decomposition for the case  $A|B \leftarrow C$ .

$\lambda$	$p_\lambda$	$a_0$	$a_1$	$b_{00}$	$b_{01}$	$b_{10}$	$b_{11}$	$c_0$	$c_1$
1	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
2	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
3	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
4	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
5	$\frac{1}{5}$	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
6	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
7	$\frac{1}{10}$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
8	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
9	$\frac{1}{10}$	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1

## Key features of the constructed example...

- ▶ Since,  $p_H = 1/5 > (p_H)_{max} = 1/8$  in QM, it is a post-quantum correlation.

## Key features of the constructed example...

- ▶ Since,  $p_H = 1/5 > (p_H)_{max} = 1/8$  in QM, it is a post-quantum correlation.
- ▶ Moreover, it admits a TOBL model, therefore it cannot be distinguished by any bi-partite information principle.

## Key features of the constructed example...

- ▶ Since,  $p_H = 1/5 > (p_H)_{max} = 1/8$  in QM, it is a post-quantum correlation.
- ▶ Moreover, it admits a TOBL model, therefore it cannot be distinguished by any bi-partite information principle.
- ▶ However, unlike the example(s) constructed in Gallego et al. (PRL,2011), this example satisfies most general GYNI inequality for three parties.



## Key features of the constructed example...

- ▶ Since,  $p_H = 1/5 > (p_H)_{max} = 1/8$  in QM, it is a post-quantum correlation.
- ▶ Moreover, it admits a TOBL model, therefore it cannot be distinguished by any bi-partite information principle.
- ▶ However, unlike the example(s) constructed in Gallego et al. (PRL,2011), this example satisfies most general GYNI inequality for three parties.
- ▶ Thus, it also respects Local-Orthogonality for single copy  $LO^{(1)}$  which is equivalent to the three party GYNI game.

## Key features of the constructed example...

- ▶ Since,  $p_H = 1/5 > (p_H)_{max} = 1/8$  in QM, it is a post-quantum correlation.
- ▶ Moreover, it admits a TOBL model, therefore it cannot be distinguished by any bi-partite information principle.
- ▶ However, unlike the example(s) constructed in Gallego et al. (PRL,2011), this example satisfies most general GYNI inequality for three parties.
- ▶ Thus, it also respects Local-Orthogonality for single copy  $LO^{(1)}$  which is equivalent to the three party GYNI game.
- ▶ Can  $LO^{(k)}$  for some finite  $k > 1$  eliminate this post-quantum correlation? This question needs further study.

THANK YOU...